# Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 82 (1974) C40-C42 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

### Preliminary communication

# THE CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF THE TRIPHENYLBORON ADDUCT OF DICARBONYL- $\pi$ -CYCLOPENTADIENYLIRON CYANIDE

#### M. LAING\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Natal, Durban (Republic of South Africa) G. KRUGER

National Physical Research Laboratory, CSIR, Pretoria (Republic of South Africa) and A.L. DJ PREEZ

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Pretorua, Pretorua (Republic of South Africa)

(Received October 15th, 1974)

#### Summary

The complex  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Fe(CO)_2 CNB(C_6 H_5)_3]$  contains a Fe-C-N-B bonding arrangement.

The preparation of the title compound and of the ruthenium analogue was recently described [1]. On the basis of chemical and infrared evidence, the ruthenium compound was assigned the structure  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Ru(CO)_2 NCB-(C_6 H_5)_3]$ . The structure of the related triphenylphosphine derivative was considered to be  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Ru\{P(C_6 H_5)_3\}_2 CNB(C_6 H_5)_3]$ . On heating, the ruthenium carbonyl compound readily decomposed to yield  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Ru-(CO)_2 CN]$ , but the iron compound did not, and it was therefore concluded that the iron was most probably N-bonded to the triphenyl boron. A study [2] of the CO and CN stretching frequencies in the inftared for compounds  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Fe(CO)_2(CNBX_3)], (X = H, Cl, Br, CH_3),$  assumed that the bonding was Fe—C—N—B, while the compound  $[\{P(C_6 H_5)_3\}_2 Cu(NCBH_3)]_2$  has been shown [3] to have the arrangement Cu—N—C—B. Because of the uncertainty about the true structure of  $[(\pi - C_5 H_5)Fe(CO)_2 L] (L = B(C_6 H_5)_3 CN)$ , a crystal structure determination was undertaken.

Suitable crystals, FeC<sub>26</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NB, obtained from dichloromethane, were triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ , a = 11.58, b = 10.20, c = 10.25 (± 0.01) Å,  $\alpha = 111.7$ ,  $\beta = 79.9$ ,  $\gamma = 97.4$  (± 0.1) °, Volume 1105 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_{\text{meas}} = 1.34$ ,  $D_{\text{calcd}}$  1.34 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,

C40

<sup>\*</sup>To whom all correspondence should be directed.

Z = 2. Three-dimensional intensity data were collected on a Philips fourcircle diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation to  $\theta = 25^{\circ}$ . Of 3864 measured intensities, 3520 were judged to be above background. The position of the iron atom was readily deduced from a three-dimensional Patterson map and the remaining atoms were located in the subsequent Fourier maps. The 31-atom structure was refined anisotropically by block diagonal least squares to R = 0.059. A difference Fourier map at this stage clearly showed all hydrogen atom contributions. A projection of the molecule down the c axis is shown (Fig.1).

The structure determination shows quite conclusively that the bonding arrangement is Fe-C-N-B (relative peak heights in the final observed Fourier map are C 100, N 117 units). The pertinent bond lengths and angles are: Fe-C(3) 1.89, C(3)-N 1.14, N-B 1.59 ( $\pm$  0.01) Å; Fe-C(3)-N 175°, C(3)-N-B 169 ( $\pm$  1)°.

The 5 atoms of the cyclopentadienyl ring are coplanar within 0.005 Å, with the C-C bond lengths ranging from 1.39 to 1.41 Å. The Fe-C(Cp) distances range from 2.07 to 2.11 Å. The cyanide group and the two carbonyl groups are mutually perpendicular: C(1)-Fe-C(2) 95, C(1)-Fe-C(3) 91, C(2)-Fe-C(3) 92°. Other bond lengths are normal: average Fe-CO 1.78, C=O 1.12, B-C(Ph) 1.63; (Ph)C-C(Ph) 1.39 Å. The bond lengths and angles in the Fe-C-N-B unit may be compared [4] with those in the cyano- and isocyano-bridged complexes of Co<sup>III</sup>.

One may now conlcude that not only the iron carbonyl but also the ruthenium carbonyl and triphenylphosphine compounds are metal—C bonded to the triphenylboron, i.e., the general structural formula is  $[(\pi \cdot C_5 H_5)M(L)_2 \cdot CNB(C_6 H_5)_3]$ , where M = Fe, Ru; L = CO, P(C\_6 H\_5)\_3. These results confirm previous comments [5] that the observed stretching frequency  $\nu(CN)$  can be completely misleading and should not be used as a criterion for differentiation between cyano and isocyano links in structure determination because the difference between the frequency of the coordinated group and that of the free CN group can be either positive or negative, irrespective of the mode of coordination.



Fig.1. A projection of the molecule  $\{(\pi - C_3 H_3)Fe(CO)_2 CNB(C_6 H_5)_3\}$  down the z axis.

# Acknowledgement

We thank Dr. R.J. Haines, University of Cape Town, for his interest in this project.

# References

- 1 R.J. Haines and A.L. du Preez, J. Organometal. Chem., 84 (1975) 369; A.L. du Preez, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pretoria, 1972.
- 2 J.S. Kristoff and D.F. Shriver, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 1788.
- 3 K.M. Melmed, T. Li, J.J. Mayerle and S.J. Lippard, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 69.
- 4 F.R. Fronczek and W.P. Schaefer, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 727. 5 F. Bonati and G. Minghetti, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 9 (1974) 95.